

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/787,486	02/24/2004	Robert Levin	SES 2539.1.1	6761
2147 7590 07/31/2008 GRACE I FISHEL			EXAMINER	
2200 WEST PORT PLAZA DRIVE SUITE 202 ST. LOUIS, MO 63146			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
rippinoution ito:	rippiiouni(o)		
10/787.486	LEVIN, ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit	ŕ	
Examiner	AIT OIII		
Dolores R. Collins	3711		
Dolotes IV. Collins	3/11		

	Dolores R. Collins	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address
The reply filed 22 July 2008 is acknowledged.		
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered became. 		sion by the Board of Patent
 a.	pendent claims into independent	orm (no limitation of a
 The affidavit or other evidence is not timel See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2). 	y filed before the filing of an appe	eal brief.
 The reply is not entered because it was not filed wi 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). 		
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in resp includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences for furl	aminer's answer written in her consideration of rejection
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	f the claims after entry is below o	r attached.
4. ☐ Other: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. office action.	Claims 1 & 3 remain rejected for i	reasons articulated in the Final
once action.		
/Gene Kim/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3711		